exhibit NN



Print Message | Close

Bob Buttars <robertbuttars@hotmail.com>, Bryce Wiser <lorikilgore@juno.com>, Buddy Hogan <eugenehoganjr@gmail.com>, Charles Jennings <vigilguy@gmail.com>, Clyde Summers <mewsummers@yahoo.com>, Daryl Anderson <jalmaraa@citlink.net>, David Thompson <zcabinets@comcast.net>. Dee Doney <deedoney@AOL.COM>, Devin King <horseshoe5@frontiernet.net>, Dorothy Hogan <dottiehogan@gmail.com>. Doug Adams <ri>riverwood@frontiernet.net>, Frank Walker <h2oright@hotmail.com>, "J.

Subject : elect a water board?

Date : Wed, Feb 02, 2011 11:07 AM

Dear Cedar Ridge Water Users,

I don't know exactly how to go about this but I worry that time is passing by without us getting organized or coming up with a real water plan. David is not sitting around waiting for something to happen. He has hired an attorney and is in the process of setting up a new company right now. The idea that he is doing that without any input from us ought to brong about a general revolt but since it hasn't he could get the idea that we are actually fine with it.even grateful to him. I think it's time we officially let him know otherwise.

Paul Fulgham, the Tremintin water manager, said we share-holders of the Cedar Fidge Water Dimpany are partly to blame for our past water problems because we didn't demand voting rights long ago "like those enjoyed by every other water-user in the state." To me that says we need to do a better job of understanding our rights and asserting them. But we are all busy and there are lots of us and our opinions are varied, so we pretty much just huff and puff and collectively wring our hands while the time for action slips away.

Here's the idea: The Dedar Ridge Water Company is still in existence and it still has bylaws that call for an elected water board, meetings and reports. etc. Yet we are acting as if we have no organization and we are letting David continue to run a one-man-show. Do you feel it's time for us to tall for a vote and elect a board? That would at least give is a little structure. We'd have an official way of disseminating information and at least look like we are unitedly trying to come up with solutions. In if we don't use the old company set-up we could not up with another way--form a community-action group or hime-limbers association of sorts--whatever we call it. It doesn't really matter as long as we get some kind of organization functioning.

What is you think? Is it time to choose a water-users board? Would you be willing to serve? Is there anyone you would trust to represent your tiews? Are you okay with the idea of David and his lawyer working things out without our input? Do you have any better ideas???

If chrising representatives seems like a good idea I'd suggest we meet and have a "political convention" of sorts, where candidates would answer our questions and state their positions before a vote is taken. This board wouldn't necessarily make decisions that are binding upon the group. A general vote could be taken for that, once the groundwork has been laid. It could just be a way for representatives to work with Tavid.

Let me know what you think. If I get enough response I will pursue the idea further. If not I'll assume it isn't what you want right now.

I'm including some questions I would ask of a potential water-board member. These may be similar to the ones you would ask. You are certainly encouraged to add your own.

1) Are you comfortable with David setting up the new water company

without share-holder involvement? Do you feel we should all have to share in the associated legal fees if that is the case?

- 2) Are you in favor of Cedar Ridge becoming a mutually-owned water company, as David is planning? Do we have the man-power? Will we burn out in time? Are we united enough?
- 3) Do you want more information about that and other options before a decision is made? (public utility, special services district, annexation into Deweyville, etc.
- 4) Do you feel there are serious issues, financial or otherwise, with the "old" company sthings you just can't let go of) that still need to be resolved, before a new one is created?
- 5) How do you feel about the \$970.00 assessment?
- 6) Did you pay the assessment?7) Did you pay but wish new you had not?
- 8) Are you satisfied with the financial explanations that have been offered as to why that assessment was necessary?
- 9) Do you feel there are other questions about company finances that need to be answered? For instance, how much money has been brought in through monthly dues over the years and how has it been used? Do you feel we need to have an audit done on those records?
- 10) Do you agree with David's assessment that the well was his to sell?
 11) Do you feel the leak should be found and fixed (or at least an exceptional effort made to do so) before a new company is formed?
- 12) Do you feel David himself should pay for the leak to be repaired, (since he knew about it over a year ago, had control of the company finances and yet let it go until now?
- 13) Do you think we should all share the financial costs of having the leak repaired?
- 14) Do you feel we should have household meters?
 15) Who do you feel should pay for meters if we get them?
- 16) Are you concerned about how David's future development plans will affect us? It you feel you understand that or do you want further (written clarification?

Barbara A



Print Message | Close

*** Barbara Andersen <barblander@gmail.com>

Bob Buttars <robertbuttars@hotmail.com>, Bryce Wiser <lorikilgore@juno.com>, Buddy Hogan <eugenehoganjr@gmail.com>, Charles Jennings <vigilguy@gmail.com>, Clyde Summers <mewsummers@yahoo.com>, Daryl Anderson <jalmaraa@citlink.net>, David Thompson < zcabinets@comcast.net>. Dee Doney <deedoney@AOL.COM>, Devin King <norseshoe5@frontiernet.net>.

THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE RESIDENCE OF THE RES

Dorothy Hogan <dottiehogan@gmail.com>, Doug Adams <riverwood@frontiernet.net>, Frank Walker

To : R. Adams" <irandjudy@citlink.net>, Jake Thompson <ia>igacobthompson@comcast.net>, "Jon Z. Thompson" <ia>igacobthompson@comcast.net>, University (Survey) <ia>igacobthompson@comcast.net, University (S <jenarbon@yahoo.com>

Subject : 2nd water email

Date : Wed, Feb 02, 2011 06:10 PM

Tear neighbors,

I have heard back from enough of you already that I think we should go shead with the idea of choosing a water committee. We need to find out new who would be willing to serve...learn how they feel about the issues...and then take a vote. So.....please send me an email stating whether or not you would be able/willing to serve. Please make sure were respond by listing your name and putting yes or no beside it. Himewer, those only one person where there are 2 or more adults in year household. Every household served by the present water company will then get only one vote...so you'll have to agree within your Bousehold.

Stretche reminded me that at our meeting in August a water board was thisen, but actually that was not done through voting. It was more of an empression of willingness to serve and acceptance of that offer. Thise same people certainly could offer again now. But we will make sure this time that everyone--even those not present--gets the issistumity to vote.

I see this as a committee whose purpose is to work with David to get the "new" water company going. Therefore he would be part of the group without a vote for him being necessary. We would need to decide how ling it will serve and when it's purpose is completed.

The tive hall is available to us (free of charge--which is awfully mile of Deweymile) on Tuesday Feb 8 at 7:00. Would that be a good time for the whole group to meet?

Please hurry and respond.

Barbara A